

1 Q. Fuel savings from capital projects – since 2006 list all projects with an estimated  
2 fuel savings from the capital budget process, and provide all implementation and  
3 verification details available to confirm these savings were in fact achieved.

4

5

6 A. The following are projects from the capital budget process since 2006 with  
7 estimated fuel savings identified.

8

9 • In 2006, the project budget proposal for Remote Operations of the Fisheries  
10 By-Pass Valve at Granite Canal estimated the recovery of lost hydroelectric  
11 production. It had identified the equivalent displacement of approximately  
12 567 barrels of fuel consumption at Holyrood annually, with an estimated  
13 savings of approximately \$18,000 in 2006.

14

15 • In 2007, based on the recommendations made in 2003 by Hartford Steam  
16 Boiler Inspection and Insurance Company (HSB), Unit 3 was upgraded to allow  
17 for an extension to a nine-year interval for overhauls. Within the budget  
18 proposal it was estimated that this would result in a savings of approximately  
19 \$1.35 million dollars in fuel consumption due to one less overhaul. During its  
20 overhauls, Unit 3 is not available in synchronous condenser mode for voltage  
21 support. This results in a requirement for Unit 1 or 2 to operate in generate  
22 mode, at inefficient (minimum) levels of generation, in order to support the  
23 system voltage.

24

25 • In 2007, the project proposal - Upgrade Unit 3 Air Preheater Steam  
26 Condensate System, estimated fuel savings of \$160,000 per year, due to  
27 efficiency improvements.

- 1       • The 2010 budget proposal for the replacement of Unit 2001 at the Francois  
2       Diesel Plant was justified based on age of equipment and efficiency  
3       improvements. Due to the age of Unit 2001, it was considered impractical to  
4       rebuild the genset and continue to operate it beyond 30 years. The improved  
5       fuel efficiency of a modern genset results in an estimated fuel savings of  
6       approximately 13,600 litres per year.  
7
- 8       • In 2012, the budget proposal to improve the method of conversion of  
9       Holyrood Unit 3 to and from synchronous condenser mode of operation,  
10      estimated that the period of conversion would be shortened by 11 days.  
11      Occurring twice a year, this results in an estimated annual fuel saving of  
12      \$830,000. Please refer to the Unit 3 overhaul period extension initiative  
13      discussed previously for an explanation of the fuel savings mechanism  
14      inherent in this project.  
15
- 16      • In 2013, within the budget proposal for Installation of Variable Frequency Drives  
17      on Forced Draft Fans, it states that, once operational, the VFDs will yield an  
18      average annual fuel savings of \$4.7 million while the Holyrood generating  
19      station is generating electricity. This project is planned to be completed in  
20      2014. The Forced Draft Fan VFDs are currently being commissioned on two of  
21      the units.  
22

23      While Hydro does measure its actual expenditures against budget, Hydro does not  
24      complete verification studies on each of its capital projects looking back in time,  
25      and as a result the actual fuel savings data is not available. The savings are difficult  
26      to quantify, due to such factors as changes in operation of diesel plants and the  
27      loading and scheduling of Holyrood. However, during the budget proposal

- 1 development stage, there is a significant amount of effort in evaluating alternatives
- 2 to arrive at the least cost option.